## REMARKS

This Response is submitted in reply to the Final Office Action mailed June 5, 2009. Claims 1-9 and 30-81 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 6, 9, 55, 64, and 73 are currently amended and are in independent form. No new matter has been added by way of these amendments. Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-1818 for any fees due in connection with this response.

The Office Action rejected all pending independent claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on *Budzik* ("User Interactions with Everyday Applications as Context for Just-in-time Information Access") in view of *Fujiwara* (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0028020).. In light of the current amendments, applicant respectfully disagrees with and traverses this rejection for at least the following reasons.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the interview conducted on November 2, 2009. During that interview Budzik and Fujiwara were discussed in light of proposed claim amendments. Those proposed amendments are reflected in this response. Tentative agreement was reached that these amendments overcome Budzik and Fujiwara, as long as the "specific value" of Fujiwara ¶69 is not taught to be dynamic based on document content somewhere else in Fujiwara. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

More specifically, each independent claim now recites "determining a first representative stylistic attribute value of the first plurality of text items based on a first frequency of occurrence of the stylistic attribute in the first active computer task [and] determining a second representative stylistic attribute value of the second plurality of text items based on a second frequency of occurrence of the stylistic attribute in the second active computer task, the second representative stylistic attribute value being different than the first representative stylistic attribute value" (emphasis added). In other words, the "representative stylistic attribute value"

Appl. No. 10/629,680 Response to Office Action of June 5, 2009

(e.g., percentage of bolded words in the document) changes based on the content of the plurality

of text items. The "representative stylistic attribute value" is not "a specific value" as taught by

Fujiwara.

Because Budzik and Fujiwara fail to teach this feature, alone and in combination, all of

the independent claims and all claims that depend therefrom are in condition for allowance. An

earnest endeavor has been made to place this application in condition for formal allowance and is

courteously solicited. If the Examiner has any questions regarding this Response, Applicant

respectfully request that the Examiner contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

K&L GATES LLP

\_\_\_\_//

James F. Goedken

Reg. No. 44,715 Customer No. 24573

Dated: November 5, 2009

18